

CFS Governance Council

December 15th, 2014

2:00 PM

Westside E

Members in Attendance:

Sarah Bloom (Chair), Andrew Samaha, Tracey-Ann Gilbert Smith, Ron Menard, Rene Anderson, Lise Fox

Apologies:

Liz Perkins, Jolene Ferro, Karen Childs

Call to Order: Sarah Call to order: 2:07

Minutes Approval: Move to approve last meetings' minutes

- a. It was noted that the minutes from last meeting were incomplete
- b. Rene: seconded

Discussion Items

1. Brief Committee Reports
 - a. CFS Leadership Meeting has been schedule for January 5th, so there will be an update at our next meeting
 - b. Partnering CFS and Rehabilitation and Mental Health Counseling
 - i. Faculty voted unanimously
2. Last time we met, we agreed that programs and certificates all created their own admissions committees. That recommendation was shared with those programs.
3. Pre-proposal for PhD in Behavioral and Community Sciences
 - a. The group is going forward with their proposal
4. Governance Council has no procedures for election of CBCS representative
 - a. The document currently says that one of the representatives may attend and participate in the council. Historically, both members have attended. Historically, they have also represented both Tenure-line and non-tenure line groups of faculty.
 - b. We might codify this practice in the governance document
 - c. Options:
 - i. They both get votes
 - ii. They only both get votes if there isn't enough for a quorum
 - iii. They both get votes, and we add a 15th member so we still have an odd number of votes
 - iv. Only the primary gets to vote, both we alternate from we pool we decide the primary is (either tenure-line or research)
 - v. Alternatively, we start behaving according to the document and possibly assign one to be primary, and the other person to be a backup if the primary cannot attend.
 - d. ToDo:

- i. Let's send out an email of these options and discuss at the next meeting.
 - ii. Invite an email-based discussion, including whether to hold it for a March meeting, or discuss it online
- 5. The CFS Tenure and Promotion Committee (Lise),
 - a. Urgency surrounds defining the tenure-track path. The group is developing criteria that link to a process that is happening at the college level. The College needs to get wrapped up early winter so it can be approved by the Provost.
 - b. Once the group solidifies what merits for Research Professor line, we will probably just be pulling out the research, i.e., people in research will probably just focus on research.
 - c. The group has had 2 meetings so far. The immediate goal is to just develop some language that is responsive to some changes that happened in the faculty senate. A goal in the next meeting is to land on some draft language. In January, the group hopes to have a full draft document to present to the faculty, have an open meeting, and ask for edits.
 - d. An additional meeting will happen at the very end of January and present the final language to tenure-earning faculty and conduct a vote then.
- 6. Concerns and suggestions from the Orbitz for Business Travel Group
 - a. Another meeting with additional constituents will occur
 - b. There needs to be a clear process for getting an exception
- 7. Identification of dates for next two meetings
 - a. Monday, March 16th
 - b. Monday, June 8th

Adjournment: Motion to adjourn by Ron, seconded by Lise.